A new class of infinite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie conformal algebras

Guangzhe Fan*, Qiufan Chen*, Jianzhi Han*
*Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China

Abstract: In this paper, we construct a new class of infinite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie conformal algebra, denoted by $CW(a,c)$. Obviously, we know that $CW(a,c)$ becomes the loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra or Block type Lie conformal algebras for some special $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$. The $CW(a,c)$ has a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-basis $\{L_\alpha\,|\,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ and λ-brackets $[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\,L_\beta]=((a\alpha+c)\partial+(a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}$, where $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}$, $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$. Then, we present the associated Lie algebra $W(a,c)$, where $W(a,c)$ has a basis $\{L_{\alpha,i}\,|\,\alpha,\beta,i,j\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and Lie brackets $[L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j}]=(a(\beta(i+1)-\alpha(j+1))+c(i-j))L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}$, where $\alpha,\beta,i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$. Clearly, we find that $W(a,c)$ is also a new class of infinite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebras. In particular, the conformal derivations of $CW(a,c)$ are determined. In addition, we classify rank one conformal modules over $CW(a,c)$. Finally, we compute the central extensions of this class Lie conformal algebras.

Key words: Lie conformal algebra, Lie algebra, Block algebra, conformal derivation, conformal module, central extension
Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 17B05, 17B40, 17B68, 17B69.

arXiv:1412.0074v4 [math.RA] 1 Mar 2016

1 Introduction

Conformal algebras are important ingredients of Lie theory and are still developing rapidly. The notation of conformal algebras as an algebraic language describing the singular of the operator product expansion in conformal field theory, was initially introduced by V. G. Kac in [10]. Conformal module is a basic tool for the construction of free field realization of infinite dimensional Lie (super)algebras in conformal field theory. In recent years, the structure theory, representation theory and cohomology theory of Lie conformal algebras have been extensively studied by many scholars. For example, finite irreducible conformal modules over the Virasoro conformal algebra were determined in [2]. The Lie conformal algebra of a Block type, loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra and loop W(a,b) Lie conformal algebra were investigated in [3, 4, 6, 15]. Generally, we can start from a given Lie algebra to construct the related Lie conformal algebra. However, there is little known about its converse course. We believe this article would help to obtain how to obtain a new Lie algebra from the Lie conformal algebra.

Infinite rank Lie conformal algebras are important ingredients of Lie conformal algebras, whose structure and representation theories are subjects of studies with more challenge than that of finite rank Lie conformal algebras. In this paper, starting from the definitions of Lie conformal algebras , we obtain a new class of infinite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie conformal algebra $CW(a,c)$, which is constructed in Section 3 with a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-basis $\{L_\alpha\,|\,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ and λ-brackets

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\,L_\beta]=\Big((a\alpha+c)\partial+(a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)\lambda\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta},\tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}$, $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11431010, 11371278).
Corresponding author: Q. Chen (qiufantj@126.com)

Obviously, we know that $CW(a,c)$ becomes the loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra or Block type Lie conformal algebras for some special $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$. Particularly, $CW(0,1)$ is actually isomorphic to the loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra studied in [15]. In addition, $CW(1,0)$ is actually a Block type Lie conformal algebra studied in [6]. If $a=c=0$, then $CW(0,0)$ is actually an abelian Lie conformal algebra. In the following we shall omit this case. Moreover, we only consider $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$ or $c\in\mathbb{C}^*$.

The associated Lie algebra of $CW(a,c)$, denoted by $W(a,c)$, is defined to be a Lie algebra with basis $\{L_{\alpha,i}\,|\,\alpha,\, i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ and Lie brackets given by

$$[L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j}]=\Big(a(\beta(i+1)-\alpha(j+1))+c(i-j)\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j},$$

where $\alpha,\beta,i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basic definitions of Lie conformal algebras are recalled. In Section 3, we construct a new class of infinite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie conformal algebra, denoted by $CW(a,c)$. Section 4 is devoted to the associated Lie algebra of $CW(a,c)$. In Section 5, we determine the conformal derivations of $CW(a,c)$. We classify rank one conformal modules in Section 6. In this section, we obtain the central extension of $CW(a,c)$.

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C}^*$, $\mathbb{Z}$ the sets of complex numbers, nonzero complex numbers, integers respectively.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions related to Lie conformal algebras in [8, 10].

Proposition 2.1. *Let g be a Lie algebra. If (a(z),b(w)) is a local pair of g-valued formal distributions, the Fourier coefficients satisfy the following commutation relation on g:*

$$[a_{(m)},b_{(n)}]=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\binom{m}{k}(a_{(k)}b)_{(m+n-k)},$$

where

$$a_{(k)}b=a(w)_{(k)}b(w)=\mathrm{Res}_z(z-w)^k[a(z),b(w)],$$

is called the k-product of $a(w)$ and $b(w)$.

Definition 2.2. Let g be a Lie algebra. The λ-bracket of two g-valued formal distributions is defined by the $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear map

$$[\cdot_\lambda\cdot]: g[[w,w^{-1}]]\otimes g[[w,w^{-1}]]\longrightarrow g[[w,w^{-1}]][[\lambda]]$$

with

$$[a(w)_\lambda b(w)]=F^\lambda_{z,w}[a(z),b(w)], \tag{2.1}$$

where $F^\lambda_{z,w}a(z,w)=\mathrm{Res}_z e^{\lambda(z-w)}a(z,w)$ is the formal Fourier transform.

It can be easily shown that the λ-bracket is related to the k-product as follows:

$$[a\,{}_\lambda\, b] = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}(a_{(k)}b). \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.3. A Lie conformal algebra is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module A endowed with a linear map $A\otimes A \to A[\lambda]$, $a\otimes b \to [a\,{}_\lambda\, b]$, called λ-bracket, where λ is an indeterminate variable and $A[\lambda] = \mathbb{C}[\lambda]\otimes A$, subject to the following three axioms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textit{Conformal sesquilinearity}: \quad [\partial a\,{}_\lambda\, b] = -\lambda[a\,{}_\lambda\, b], \quad [a\,{}_\lambda\, \partial b] = (\partial+\lambda)[a\,{}_\lambda\, b];\\
&\textit{Skew symmetry}: \quad [a\,{}_\lambda\, b] = -[b\,{}_{-\lambda-\partial}\, a];\\
&\textit{Jacobi identity}: \quad [a\,{}_\lambda\,[b\,{}_\mu\, c]] = [[a\,{}_\lambda\, b]\,{}_{\lambda+\mu}\, c] + [b\,{}_\mu\,[a\,{}_\lambda\, c]].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 2.4. A conformal module M over a Lie conformal algebra A is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module endowed with a λ-action $A\otimes M \to M[\lambda]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial a)\,{}_\lambda\, v = -\lambda a\,{}_\lambda\, v, \quad a\,{}_\lambda\,(\partial v) = (\partial+\lambda)a\,{}_\lambda\, v;\\
&a\,{}_\lambda\,(b\,{}_\mu\, v) - b\,{}_\mu\,(a\,{}_\lambda\, v) = [a\,{}_\lambda\, b]\,{}_{\lambda+\mu}\, v.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if M is a free module of rank one over A, we call a rank one conformal module over A.

Definition 2.5. A Lie conformal algebra A is $\mathbb{Z}$-*graded* if $A = \oplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} A_\alpha$, where each A_α is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-submodule and $[A_\alpha\,{}_\lambda\, A_\beta] \subset A_{\alpha+\beta}[\lambda]$ for any $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, a conformal module V over A is $\mathbb{Z}$-*graded* if $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} V_\alpha$, where each V_α is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-submodule and $A_\alpha\,{}_\lambda\, V_\beta \subset V_{\alpha+\beta}[\lambda]$ for any $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.6. Suppose V and W be two $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-modules. A conformal linear map from V to W is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\phi_\lambda : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\partial][\lambda]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\partial]} W$ such that

$$\phi_\lambda(\partial v) = (\partial+\lambda)\phi_\lambda(v), \quad \text{for } v\in V.$$

Denoted by $Chom(V,W)$ the space of conformal linear maps between $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-modules V and W.

3 Infinite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie Conformal algebras

In this section, we start from the definitions of Lie conformal algebras to construct a new class of infinite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie conformal algebra.

Firstly, we consider the Lie conformal algebra, denoted by CL with a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-basis $\{L_\alpha \,|\, \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ and λ-brackets

$$[L_\alpha\,{}_\lambda\, L_\beta] = (f(\alpha,\beta)\partial + g(\alpha,\beta)\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f(\alpha,\beta), g(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{C}[\alpha,\beta]$.

Therefore, we conclude that *CL* must satisfy the conformal sesquilinearity, conformal skew symmetry, and conformal *Jacobi* identity.

For the conformal skew symmetry,

$$[L_{\beta\,\lambda}\,L_\alpha] = -[L_{\alpha\,-\lambda-\partial}\,L_\beta],$$

we obtain

$$f(\beta,\alpha)\partial + g(\beta,\alpha)\lambda = -(f(\alpha,\beta)\partial + g(\alpha,\beta))(-\lambda-\partial), \tag{3.2}$$

Comparing the coefficients of ∂ and λ in equation (3.2), we have

$$g(\alpha,\beta) = f(\alpha,\beta) + f(\beta,\alpha), \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$g(\alpha,\beta) = g(\beta,\alpha). \tag{3.4}$$

As it is easy to see, if satisfying the equality (3.3) then must be satisfying the equality (3.4), then we only consider the equality (3.3).

Therefore, the equality (3.1) becomes

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\,L_\beta] = (f(\alpha,\beta)\partial + (f(\alpha,\beta) + f(\beta,\alpha))\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{3.5}$$

In fact, we have known that the conformal *Jacobi* identity,

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\,[L_{\beta\,\mu}\,L_\gamma]] = [[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\,L_\beta]_{\,\lambda+\mu}\,L_\gamma] + [L_{\beta\,\mu}\,[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\,L_\gamma]. \tag{3.6}$$

According to (3.5) and by comparing the coefficients of ∂^2, ∂, $\partial\lambda$, $\partial\mu$, λ^2, λ, μ^2 and μ in (3.6) separately, we obtain

$$f(\alpha,\gamma)f(\beta,\alpha+\gamma) = f(\beta,\gamma)f(\alpha,\beta+\gamma), \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$f(\beta,\alpha)(f(\alpha+\beta,\gamma) + f(\gamma,\alpha+\beta)) = f(\beta,\gamma)(f(\alpha,\beta+\gamma) + f(\beta+\gamma,\alpha)). \tag{3.8}$$

Theorem 3.1. *If $f(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{C}[\alpha,\beta]$ and $f(\alpha,\beta)$ satisfies (3.7), (3.8), for any $\alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f(\alpha,\beta) = a\alpha + c$, where $a,c \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Firstly, we consider two special cases: $f(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{C}[\alpha]$ or $f(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{C}[\beta]$.

If $f(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{C}[\beta]$, then we assume

$$f(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{i=0} a_{i0}\alpha^i \text{ (finite sum), where } a_{i0} \in \mathbb{C}, i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.9}$$

Due to the sum being finite, we may suppose that the highest degree of α is $m(>1)$ and $a_{m0} \neq 0$.

Substituting (3.9) into (3.8), we have

$$(\sum_{i=0} a_{i0}\beta^i)(\sum_{i=0} a_{i0}(\alpha+\beta)^i + \sum_{i=0} a_{i0}\gamma^i) = (\sum_{i=0} a_{i0}\beta^i)(\sum_{i=0} a_{i0}\alpha^i + \sum_{i=0} a_{i0}(\beta+\gamma)^i). \tag{3.10}$$

Since the equality (3.10) must remain valid for any $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}$, the coefficients of $\alpha\beta^{m-1}$ must be equal.

Due to $m>1$, then the left of (3.10) must have $\alpha\beta^{m-1}$ (its coefficient is not equal to zero), but the right doesn't, which is contradictory. Thus, $m\leq 1$.

Next assume that $f(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbb{C}[\beta]$, then we assume

$$f(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{j=0} a_{0j}\beta^j \text{ (finite sum), where } a_{0j}\in\mathbb{C}, j\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.11}$$

Due to the sum being finite, we suppose that the highest degree of β is $n(>0)$ and $a_{0n}\neq 0$.

Substituting (3.11) into (3.7), then we have

$$\sum_{j=0} a_{0j}\gamma^j + \sum_{j=0} a_{0j}(\alpha+\gamma)^j = \sum_{j=0} a_{0j}\gamma^j + \sum_{j=0} a_{0j}(\beta+\gamma)^j. \tag{3.12}$$

Since the equality (3.12) must be always valid for any $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}$, we get $n=0$. Therefore, we have $f(\alpha,\beta)=a_{00}$, where $a_{00}\in\mathbb{C}$.

Then, we consider the general case, assuming

$$f(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{i,j=0} a'_{ij}\alpha^i\beta^j \text{ (finite sum), where } a'_{ij}\in\mathbb{C}, i,j\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.13}$$

We suppose the highest degree of α be m', and the degree of corresponding β be m''. Similarly, the highest degree of β is n', and the degree of corresponding α is n''. Obviously, we assume $a'_{m'm''}\neq 0, a'_{n'n''}\neq 0$.

By substituting (3.13) into (3.7) and comparing the coefficients of the highest of α, then we have

$$a'_{m'm''}\alpha'^{m'}\gamma^{m''}a'_{n'n''}\beta^{n''}\alpha^{n'} = f(\beta,\gamma)a'_{m'm''}\alpha'^{m'}(\beta+\gamma)^{m''}, \tag{3.14}$$

Since $a'_{m'm''}\neq 0$ and $\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}$, then we have $n'=0$.

It is easy to see that

$$f(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{i=0} a'_{i0}\alpha^i. \tag{3.15}$$

Then, $f(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathbb{C}[\alpha]$. Thus, $f(\alpha,\beta)=a\alpha+c$, where $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$. □

Obviously, it follows that

$$g(\alpha,\beta) = a(\alpha+\beta)+2c, g(\alpha,\beta) = a(\alpha+\beta)+2c.$$

According to Theorem 3.1, then it is nothing to prove.

Theorem 3.2. *Let CL have a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-basis $\{L_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and λ-brackets*

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\, L_\beta] = (f(\alpha,\beta)\partial + g(\alpha,\beta)\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}, \ \text{ where } f(\alpha,\beta), g(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{C}[\alpha,\beta], \text{ and } \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If CL can be a Lie conformal algebra, then

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\, L_\beta] = \Big((a\alpha + c)\partial + (a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)\lambda\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta}, \ \text{ where } \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}, a, c \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.16}$$

This class of Lie conformal algebra is denoted by $CW(a,c)$. We simply denote $CW = CW(a,c)$.

Obviously, we know that CW is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie conformal algebra, and $CW = \oplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}} CW_\alpha$, where $CW_\alpha = \mathbb{C}[\partial]L_\alpha$.

Hence we shall restrict our attention to some examples which are useful for the development of the infinite rank Lie conformal algebras.

Example 3.3. *In (3.16), let $a = 0, c = 1$, then the equality (3.16) becomes*

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\, L_\beta] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{3.17}$$

We see that $CW(0,1)$ is actually isomorphic to the loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra studied in [15].

Example 3.4. *In (3.16), let $a = 1, c = 0$, then the equality (3.16) becomes*

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\, L_\beta] = \Big(\alpha\partial + (\alpha+\beta)\lambda\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{3.18}$$

It is clear that $CW(1,0)$ is the Lie conformal algebra of a Block type Lie algebra. And the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded free intermediate series modules of $CW(1,0)$ were studied in [6].

Example 3.5. *In (3.16), let $a = 1, c = 1$, then the equality (3.16) becomes*

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\, L_\beta] = \Big((\alpha+1)\partial + (\alpha+\beta+2)\lambda\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{3.19}$$

We obtain that $CW(1,1)$ is a new type Lie conformal algebra. We can still consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded free intermediate series modules of $CW(1,1)$, and it may be useful and interesting.

4 The Lie algebra $W(a,c)$

In this section, we start from the Lie conformal algebra $CW(a,c)$ to construct $CW(a,c)$ via formal distribution Lie algebra, then we obtain the associated Lie algebra $W(a,c)$ with basis $\{L_{\alpha,i} \mid \alpha, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and Lie brackets given by

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] = \Big(a(\beta(i+1) - \alpha(j+1)) + c(i-j)\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$[L_\alpha(z),L_\beta(w)] = (a\alpha+c)\partial_w L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\delta(z,w) + (a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\partial_w\delta(z,w),$$

where $\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a,c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. On the one hand, using (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_\alpha(w)\,{}_\lambda\, L_\beta(w)] &= \Big((a\alpha+c)\partial + (a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)\lambda\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\\
&= (a\alpha+c)\partial_w L_{\alpha+\beta}(w) + (a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)\lambda L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\\
&= (a\alpha+c)\partial_w L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\mathrm{Res}_z\delta(z,w)\\
&\quad +(a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\mathrm{Res}_z\Big(\partial_w\delta(z,w)+\lambda(z-w)\partial_w\delta(z,w)\Big)\\
&= (a\alpha+c)\partial_w L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\mathrm{Res}_z\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\lambda^k\frac{(z-w)^k\delta(z,w)}{k!}\\
&\quad +(a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\mathrm{Res}_z\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\lambda^k\frac{(z-w)^k\partial_w\delta(z,w)}{k!}\\
&= \mathrm{Res}_z e^{\lambda(z-w)}\Big((a\alpha+c)\partial_w L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\delta(z,w) + (a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\partial_w\delta(z,w)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$[L_\alpha(w)\,{}_\lambda\, L_\beta(w)] = \mathrm{Res}_z e^{\lambda(z-w)}[L_\alpha(z),L_\beta(w)].$$

Therefore, we have

$$[L_\alpha(z),L_\beta(w)] = (a\alpha+c)\partial_w L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\delta(z,w) + (a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\partial_w\delta(z,w).$$

□

For any $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $L_\alpha(z)=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}L_{\alpha,i+x}z^{-i-1}$, where $x\in\mathbb{Z}$. Let $F=\{L_\alpha(z)\,|\,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ be the set of $W(a,c)$-valued formal distributions.

In view of Proposition (4.1), it is sufficient to prove the following statement.

Proposition 4.2. *We have*

$$[L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j}] = \Big(a(\beta(i+1)-\alpha(j+1))+c(i-j)\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j},$$

where $\alpha,\beta,i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. On the one hand, using Proposition (4.1), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_\alpha(z),L_\beta(w)] &= (a\alpha+c)\partial_w L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\delta(z,w)+(a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)L_{\alpha+\beta}(w)\partial_w\delta(z,w)\\
&= -(a\alpha+c)(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(k+1)L_{\alpha+\beta,k+x}w^{-k-2})(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}z^{-i-1}w^{i})\\
&\quad +(a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}L_{\alpha+\beta,k+x}w^{-k-1})(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}iz^{-i-1}w^{i-1})\\
&= -(a\alpha+c)(\sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}(i+j)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+x-1}z^{-i-1}w^{-j-1})\\
&\quad +(a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)(\sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}iL_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+x-1}z^{-i-1}w^{-j-1})\\
&= \sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big(a(\beta i-\alpha j)+c(i-j)\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+x-1}z^{-i-1}w^{-j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_\alpha(z),L_\beta(w)] &= \Big[\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}L_{\alpha,i+x}z^{-i-1},\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}L_{\beta,j+x}w^{-j-1}\Big]\\
&= \sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}[L_{\alpha,i+x},L_{\beta,j+x}]z^{-i-1}w^{-j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$[L_{\alpha,i+x},L_{\beta,j+x}]=(a(\beta i-\alpha j)+c(i-j))L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j+x-1}.$$

Furthermore, by (4.1), we have to take $x=-1$. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let $W(a,c)$ be an algebra with basis $\{L_{\alpha,i}\,|\,\alpha,\ i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, where $a,c\in\mathbb{C}$. Then $W(a,c)$ is a Lie algebra with Lie brackets defined as that in Proposition (4.2).*

Proof. For the skew symmetry, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&[L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j}]=\Big(a(\beta(i+1)-\alpha(j+1))+c(i-j)\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}\\
&=-\Big(a(\alpha(j+1)-\beta(i+1))+c(j-i)\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}=-[L_{\beta,j},L_{\alpha,i}].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can also check the *Jacobi* equality easily

$$[L_{\alpha,i},[L_{\beta,j},L_{\gamma,k}]]=[[L_{\alpha,i},L_{\beta,j}],L_{\gamma,k}]+[L_{\beta,j},[L_{\alpha,i},L_{\gamma,k}]].$$

□

We simply denote $W=W(a,c)$. It is readily seen that the Lie algebra W is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded

$$W=\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}}W_\alpha,\quad W_\alpha=\mathrm{span}\{L_{\alpha,i}\,|\,i\in\mathbb{Z}\}\ \text{ for }\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Furthermore, we find that W has many Lie algebras which we are familiar with. For example, $W(0,1)$ is actually isomorphic to the centerless loop-Witt Lie algebra [14]. $CW(1,0)$ is actually a Block type Lie algebra [16]. Moreover, $W(1,1)$ is a new type Lie algebra whose structure and representation theories can also be further studied.

5 Conformal derivations of $CW(a,c)$

Definition 5.1. Let A be a Lie conformal algebra. A conformal linear map $D_\lambda : A \longrightarrow A[\lambda]$ is called a conformal derivation if

$$D_\lambda([a_\mu b]) = [(D_\lambda a)_{\lambda+\mu} b] + [a_\mu (D_\lambda b)], \quad \text{for any } a,b,c \in A.$$

It can be easily verified that for any $x \in A$, the map ad_x, defined by $(\mathrm{ad}_x)_\lambda y = [x_\lambda y]$ for $y \in A$, is a conformal derivation of A. All conformal derivations of this kind are called conformal inner derivations.

Denote by $CDer(CW)$ and $CInn(CW)$ the vector spaces of all conformal derivations and inner conformal derivations of CW, respectively. Assume $D \in \mathrm{CDer}(CW)$. Define $D^\beta(L_\alpha) = \pi_{\alpha+\beta} D(L_\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, where in general π_β is the natural projection from

$$\mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes CW \cong \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda] L_\gamma,$$

onto $\mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda]L_\alpha$. Then D^β is a conformal derivation and $D = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}} D^\beta$ in the sense that for any $x \in CW$ only finitely many $D^\beta_\lambda(x) \neq 0$. Let $(\mathrm{CDer}CW)^d$ be the space of conformal derivations of degree d, i.e.,

$$(\mathrm{CDer}(CW))^d = \{D \in \mathrm{CDer}(CW) \,|\, D_\lambda(CW_\alpha) \subset CW_{\alpha+d}[\lambda]\}.$$

Firstly, by [15], we have the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *If $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $CDer(CW(0,c)) = \mathrm{CInn}(CW(0,c))$.* □

Theorem 5.3. *If $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $CDer(CW(a,0)) = \mathbb{C}D^0$.*

Proof. Assume $D^\beta_\lambda(L_\alpha) = f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}$, where $f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda]$. Applying D^β_λ to $[L_{0\ \mu}\ L_\alpha] = a\alpha\mu L_\alpha$, one has

$$f_0(-\lambda-\mu,\lambda)\big(\beta\partial + (\beta+\alpha)(\lambda+\mu)\big) + f_\alpha(\partial+\mu,\lambda)(\alpha+\beta)\mu = \alpha\mu\, f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda). \quad (5.1)$$

Setting $\mu = 0$ in (5.1), one gets

$$f_0(-\lambda,\lambda)\big(\beta\partial + (\beta+\alpha)\lambda\big) = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, the equality (5.2) remains valid, then $f_0(-\lambda,\lambda) = 0$.

Therefore, (5.1) becomes

$$(\alpha+\beta) f_\alpha(\partial+\mu,\lambda) = \alpha f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda).$$

If $\beta \neq 0$, then we have $f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda) = 0$. Therefore, $D^\beta_\lambda(L_\alpha) = 0$.

If $\beta = 0$, then we can obtain $f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda) = h_\alpha(\lambda)(\alpha \neq 0)$, where $h_\alpha(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. Applying D^0_λ to $[L_{\alpha\ \mu}\ L_\gamma] = a(\alpha\partial + (\alpha+\gamma)\mu)L_{\alpha+\gamma}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &f_\alpha(-\lambda-\mu,\lambda)\big(\alpha\partial + (\alpha+\gamma)(\lambda+\mu)\big) + f_\gamma(\partial+\mu,\lambda)\big(\alpha\partial + (\alpha+\gamma)\mu\big) \\ &= f_{\alpha+\gamma}(\partial,\lambda)\big(\alpha(\partial+\lambda) + (\alpha+\gamma)\mu\big). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

When $\alpha, \gamma, \alpha+\gamma$ are not equal to zero, by comparing the coefficients of ∂ and λ in equation (5.3), we have $h_\alpha(\lambda) = b\alpha(\alpha \neq 0)$, where $b \in \mathbb{C}$.

By (5.3), this is not hard to prove that $f_0(\partial,\lambda) = 0$. Therefore, $D^0_\lambda(L_\alpha) = b\alpha L_\alpha$, where $b \in \mathbb{C}$.

Suppose $D^0(L_\alpha) = \alpha L_\alpha$. □

Theorem 5.4. *If $a, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $a^{-1}c \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $CDer(CW(a,c)) = \mathrm{CInn}(CW(a,c))$.*

Proof. Assume $D^\beta_\lambda(L_\alpha) = f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}$, where $f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda]$. Applying D^β_λ to $[L_{0\ \mu}\ L_\alpha] = \big(c\partial + (a\alpha+2c)\mu\big)L_\alpha$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &f_0(-\lambda-\mu,\lambda)\big((a\beta+c)\partial + (\lambda+\mu)(a(\beta+\alpha)+2c)\big) + f_\alpha(\partial+\mu,\lambda)\big(c\partial + (a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)\mu\big) \\ &= \big(c(\partial+\lambda) + (a\alpha+2c)\mu\big) f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Setting $\mu = 0$ in (5.4), one gets

$$c\lambda\, f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda) = f_0(-\lambda,\lambda)\big((a\beta+c)\partial + \lambda(a(\beta+\alpha)+2c)\big) \tag{5.5}$$

Since $a^{-1}c \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then λ is a factor of $f_0(-\lambda,\lambda)$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda]$. Setting $g(\lambda) = -\frac{f_0(\lambda,-\lambda)}{\lambda}$, we have $D^\beta = \mathrm{ad}_{g(\partial)L_\beta}$.

Therefore, we have $D = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}} D^\beta = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{ad}_{h_\beta(\partial)L_\beta}$ for some $h_\beta(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$. If $h_\beta(\partial) \neq 0$ for infinite many β's, then $D_\lambda(L_0) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}} D^\beta_\lambda(L_0) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}}[h_\beta(\partial)L_{\beta\ \lambda}\ L_0] = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}}\big((a\beta+c)\partial + (a\beta+2c)\lambda\big)h_\beta(-\lambda)L_\beta$ is an infinite sum, a contradiction to the definition of derivations.

Thus $D = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{ad}_{h_\beta(\partial)L_\beta} = \mathrm{ad}_h$ is a finite sum, where $h = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}} h_\beta(\partial)L_\beta \in CW(a,c)$, i.e, $D \in \mathrm{CInn}(CW(a,c))$. □

Theorem 5.5. *If $a, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $a^{-1}c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $CDer(CW(a,c)) = \mathrm{CInn}(CW(a,c)) \oplus D^d$, where $D^d_\lambda(L_\alpha) = e(1-d^{-1}\alpha)L_{\alpha+d}$, for $d = -a^{-1}c$, $e \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Assume $D^\beta_\lambda(L_\alpha) = f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}$, where $f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda]$. From (5.5), we know that if $\beta \neq -a^{-1}c$, then λ is a factor of $f_0(-\lambda,\lambda)$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda]$. Setting $g(\lambda) = -\frac{f_0(\lambda,-\lambda)}{\lambda}$, we have $D^\beta = \mathrm{ad}_{g(\partial)L_\beta}$.

For convenience, we simply denote $d=-a^{-1}c$, $f_0(-\lambda,\lambda)=\lambda l'(\lambda)+e$, where $l'(\lambda)\in\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$, $e\in\mathbb{C}$.

If $\beta=d$, then the equation (5.5) can be rephrased by

$$f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)=f_0(-\lambda,\lambda)(1-d^{-1}\alpha).$$

Therefore, $f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)=l_\alpha(\lambda)=\lambda l'(\lambda)(1-d^{-1}\alpha)+e(1-d^{-1}\alpha)$, for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Replacing $D^d_\lambda=D^d_\lambda-ad_{a^{-1}l'(\partial)L_d}$, one has $D^d_\lambda(L_\alpha)=e(1-d^{-1}\alpha)L_{\alpha+d}$, where $e\in\mathbb{C}$.

Using the same method of Theorem 4.1, $D=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{ad}_{h_\beta(\partial)L_\beta}+eD^d=\mathrm{ad}_h+eD^d$ is a finite sum, where $h=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}}h_\beta(\partial)L_\beta\in CL$, i.e, $D\in\mathrm{CInn}\,(CW(a,c))$. □

6 Rank one conformal modules over $CW(a,c)$

Suppose M be a free conformal module of rank one over CW. We may write $M=\mathbb{C}[\partial]v$ and assume $L_{\alpha\,\lambda}v=f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)v$, where $f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)\in\mathbb{C}[\partial,\lambda]$. We will compute the coefficients $f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)$ in the rest of this section.

For the Virasoro conformal algebra $CVir$, it is well known that all the free nontrivial $CVir$-modules of rank one over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ are the following ones $(a',b'\in\mathbb{C})$,

$$M'_{a',b'}=\mathbb{C}[\partial]v,\quad L_\lambda v=(\partial+a'\lambda+b')v.$$

The module $M'_{a',b'}$ is irreducible if and only if $a'\neq 0$. The module $M'_{0,b'}$ contains a unique nontrivial submodule $(\partial+b')M'_{0,b'}$ isomorphic to $M'_{1,b'}$. It was proved that the modules $M'_{a',b'}$ with $a'\neq 0$ exhaust all finite irreducible nontrivial $CVir$-modules in [2].

Firstly, according to [15], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *If $c\in\mathbb{C}^*$, then a nontrivial free conformal module of rank one over $CW(0,c)$ is isomorphic to $M_{a',b',c',d'}$ for some $a',b',d'\in\mathbb{C},c'\in\mathbb{C}^*$, where $M_{a',b',c',d'}=\mathbb{C}[\partial]v$ and λ-actions are given by*

$$L_{\alpha\,\lambda}v=c'^i(\partial+a'\lambda+b')v.$$

Furthermore, $M_{a',b',c',d'}$ is irreducible if and only if $a'\neq 0$.

By referring to [5], we get the following conclusion.

Theorem 6.2. *If $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$, then all free conformal $CW(a,0)$-modules of rank 1 over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ are trivial.*

We can obtain the following result easily.

Lemma 6.3. *For any $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}$, the following equality holds*:

$$\big((a\beta+c)\lambda-(a\alpha+c)\mu\big)\,f_{\alpha+\beta}(\partial,\lambda+\mu)=f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,\mu)\,f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)-f_\alpha(\partial+\mu,\lambda)\,f_\beta(\partial,\mu).\quad(6.1)$$

Proof. A direct computation shows that

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}L_\beta]_{\lambda+\mu}\nu = \big((a\beta+c)\lambda-(a\alpha+c)\mu)\big)\,f_{\alpha+\beta}(\partial,\lambda+\mu)\nu,$$
$$L_{\alpha\,\lambda}(L_{\beta\,\mu}\nu) = L_{\alpha\,\lambda}(f_\beta(\partial,\mu)\nu) = f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,\mu)L_{\alpha\lambda}\nu = f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,\mu)\,f_\alpha(\partial,\lambda)\nu,$$

and

$$L_{\beta\,\mu}(L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\nu) = f_\alpha(\partial+\mu,\lambda)\,f_\beta(\partial,\mu)\nu.$$

□

Lemma 6.4. *We have* $f_0(\partial,\lambda) = c\partial + d\lambda + e$, *where* $d,e\in\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Setting $\alpha=\beta=0$ in (6.1), then we have

$$c(\lambda-\mu)\,f_0(\partial,\lambda+\mu) = f_0(\partial+\lambda,\mu)\,f_0(\partial,\lambda) - f_0(\partial+\mu,\lambda)\,f_0(\partial,\mu). \tag{6.2}$$

Comparing the degree of λ, we have $f_0(\partial,\lambda) = \partial h(\lambda)+l(\lambda)$, where $h(\lambda),l(\lambda)\in\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$.

From (6.4), by comparing the degree of ∂, λ and μ, then we get $h(\lambda)=c$, $l(\lambda)=d\lambda+e$, where $d,e\in\mathbb{C}$. □

Lemma 6.5. *We have* $f_\beta(\partial,\lambda)=0$ *for any* $\beta\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Setting $\alpha=0$ in (6.1), then we have

$$((a\beta+c)\lambda-c\mu)\,f_\beta(\partial,\lambda+\mu) = f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,\mu)\,f_0(\partial,\lambda) - f_0(\partial+\mu,\lambda)\,f_\beta(\partial,\mu). \tag{6.3}$$

By Lemma (6.4), then

$$((a\beta+c)\lambda-c\mu)\,f_\beta(\partial,\lambda+\mu) = f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,\mu)(c\partial+d\lambda+e) - f_\beta(\partial,\mu)(c\partial+c\mu+d\lambda+e). \tag{6.4}$$

If $a^{-1}c\notin\mathbb{Z}$, then $a\beta+c\neq 0$. Taking $\mu = c^{-1}(a\beta+c)\lambda$, according to (6.4), we obtain

$$f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,c^{-1}(a\beta+c)\lambda)(c\partial+d\lambda+e) = f_\beta(\partial,c^{-1}(a\beta+c)\lambda)(c\partial+(a\beta+c)\lambda+d\lambda+e).$$

Comparing the degree of ∂ and λ, then we have $f_\beta(\partial,\lambda)=0$.

If $a^{-1}c\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta\neq\ a^{-1}c$, then $a\beta+c\neq 0$. Taking $\mu = c^{-1}(a\beta+c)\lambda$, similarly we have $f_\beta(\partial,\lambda)=0\ \ (\beta\neq\ a^{-1}c)$.

Considering $a^{-1}c\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta=\alpha^{-1}c$, then we have

$$-c\mu\,f_\beta(\partial,\lambda+\mu) = f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,\mu)(c\partial+d\lambda+e) - f_\beta(\partial,\mu)(c\partial+c\mu+d\lambda+e). \tag{6.5}$$

Setting $\mu=0$ in (6.5), then we have

$$f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,0)(c\partial+d\lambda+e) = f_\beta(\partial,0)(c\partial+d\lambda+e).$$

Thus, $f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,0)=f_\beta(\partial,0)$, then it shows that $f_\beta(\partial,0)=A$, where $A\in\mathbb{C}$.

Setting $\mu=-\lambda$ in (6.5), then it follows at once that

$$cA\lambda = f_\beta(\partial+\lambda,-\lambda)(c\partial+d\lambda+e)-f_\beta(\partial,-\lambda)(c\partial-c\lambda+d\lambda+e). \tag{6.6}$$

Comparing the degree of ∂, then we deduce $f_\beta(\partial,\lambda)=f_\beta(\lambda)$. Furthermore, comparing the degree of λ in (6.5), then $f_\beta(\partial,\lambda)=A$.

According to (6.1), we can easily see that $f_\beta(\partial,\lambda)=A=0$. □

Therefore, we have the following proposition.

Theorem 6.6. *If $a,c\in\mathbb{C}^*$, then all free conformal $CW(a,c)$-modules of rank 1 over $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ are trivial.*

7 The central extensions of $CLW(a,c)$

In this section, we shall consider the central extensions of $R(a,c)=CLW(a,c)$.

An extension of a Lie conformal algebra R by an abelian Lie conformal algebra G is a short exact sequence of Lie conformal algebra

$$0\to G\to\widehat{R}\to R\to 0.$$

That is to say, $\widehat{R}$ is called an extension of R by G. This extension is said to be central if

$$G\subset Z(\widehat{R})=\{x\in\widehat{R}\,|\,[x_\lambda y]=0,\forall\, y\in\widehat{R}\},\ \partial G=0.$$

Let $\widehat{R}$ be a central extension of R by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$. This means that $\widehat{R}\cong R\bigoplus\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ as vector spaces, and

$$[x_\lambda y]_{\widehat{R}}=[x_\lambda by]_R+\phi_\lambda(x,y)\mathfrak{c},$$

where $\phi_\lambda: R\times R\to\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ is a bilinear map. It follows from the axioms of Lie conformal algebra that ϕ_λ satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi_\lambda(x,y)=-\phi_{-\partial-\lambda}(y,x);\\
&\phi_\lambda(\partial x,y)=-\lambda\phi_\lambda(x,y)=-\phi_\lambda(x,\partial y);\\
&\phi_{\lambda+\mu}([x_\lambda y],z)=\phi_\lambda(x,[y_\mu z])-\phi_\mu(y,[x_\lambda z]);
\end{aligned}\tag{7.1}$$

for all $x,y,z\in R$. The map ϕ_λ satisfying (7.1) is called a 2-cocycle of R.

Now we will compute the central extension $\widehat{R(a,c)}$ of $R(a,c)$ by a one-dimensional center $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$, i.e., $\widehat{R(a,c)}=R(a,c)\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$, and the λ-brackets (1.1) are replaced by

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}L_\beta]=\Big((a\alpha+c)\partial+(a(\alpha+\beta)+2c)\lambda\Big)L_{\alpha+\beta}+A_\lambda(L_\alpha,L_\beta)\mathfrak{c},$$

where $A_\lambda : R(a,c) \otimes R(a,c) \to \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ are bilinear maps.

In the following, our main work is to determine $A_\lambda(L_\alpha, L_\beta)$.

Firstly, if $a = 0, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, according to the conclusion of [7], we have the following equality

$$A_\lambda(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = A(\alpha+\beta)\lambda + A'(\alpha+\beta)\lambda^3, \tag{7.2}$$

where A and A' are complex functions.

Next we shall consider the case of $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $c = 0$. Applying the Jacobi identity on $(L_\alpha, L_\beta, L_\gamma)$, we obtain

$$(\beta\lambda - \alpha\mu)A_{\lambda+\mu}(L_{\alpha+\beta}, L_\gamma) = (\beta\lambda + (\beta+\gamma)\mu)A_\lambda(L_\alpha, L_{\beta+\gamma}) - (\alpha\mu + (\alpha+\gamma)\lambda)A_\mu(L_\beta, L_{\alpha+\gamma}). \tag{7.3}$$

We denote $A_\lambda(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = \sum_{m=0}^n a_m(L_\alpha, L_\beta)\lambda^m \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ with $a_n(L_\alpha, L_\beta) \neq 0$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta\lambda - \alpha\mu) \sum_{m=0}^n a_m(L_{\alpha+\beta}, L_\gamma)(\lambda+\mu)^m &= (\beta\lambda + (\beta+\gamma)\mu) \sum_{m=0}^n a_m(L_\alpha, L_{\beta+\gamma})\lambda^m \\ - \quad (\alpha\mu + (\alpha+\gamma)\lambda) &\sum_{m=0}^n a_m(L_\beta, L_{\alpha+\gamma})\mu^m. \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Comparing the coefficients of λ and μ in both sides of (7.4), we have

$$\beta a_0(L_{\alpha+\beta}, L_\gamma) = \beta a_0(L_\alpha, L_{\beta+\gamma}) - (\alpha+\gamma)a_0(L_\beta, L_{\alpha+\gamma}). \tag{7.5}$$

Letting $\beta = 0$ in the equality (7.5), we get if $\gamma \neq 0$, $a_0(L_0, L_\gamma) = 0$. Furthermore, setting $\alpha = 0$ in (7.5), we conclude that

$$a_0(L_\beta, L_\gamma) = 0, \quad \text{for } \beta + \gamma \neq 0. \tag{7.6}$$

Letting $\gamma = -\alpha - \beta$ in (7.5), one has

$$\beta a_0(L_{\alpha+\beta}, L_{-\alpha-\beta}) = \beta a_0(L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}) + \beta a_0(L_\beta, L_{-\beta}). \tag{7.7}$$

This shows that

$$a_0(L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}) = \alpha a_0(L_1, L_{-1}), \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq 0. \tag{7.8}$$

In addition, we also deduce that $a_0(L_0, L_0) = 0$. Thus, we can set $a_1(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = \alpha\delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}a_0(L_1, L_{-1})$ for $a_0(L_1, L_{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Comparing the coefficients of λ^2, $\lambda\mu$ and μ^2 in both sides of (7.4), we deduce that

$$a_1(L_{\alpha+\beta}, L_\gamma) = a_1(L_\alpha, L_{\beta+\gamma}) = a_1(L_\beta, L_{\alpha+\gamma}). \tag{7.9}$$

Letting $\gamma = 0$ in (7.9), we have

$$a_1(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = a_1(L_\beta, L_\alpha) = a_1(L_0, L_{\alpha+\beta}). \tag{7.10}$$

Hence, we set $a_1(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = B(\alpha+\beta)$, where B is a complex function.

It is easy to check that when $m > 2$, $a_m(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = 0$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, we have

$$A_\lambda(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = (\alpha\delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}\Delta + B(\alpha+\beta)\lambda)\mathfrak{c}, \tag{7.11}$$

where $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$, B is a complex function.

Similarly, when $a, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, one can deduce that

$$A_\lambda(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = 0.$$

In this the central extension is trivial.

Finally, from above discussions, we obtain the main result of this section.

Theorem 7.1. • *If $a = 0, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then the one-dimensional central extension $\widehat{R(a,c)}$ of $R(a,c)$ has the following form:*

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\, L_\beta] = c(\partial + 2\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta} + (A(\alpha+\beta)\lambda + A'(\alpha+\beta)\lambda^3)\mathfrak{c},$$

where A, A' are complex functions.

- *If $a \in \mathbb{C}^*, c = 0$, then the one-dimensional central extension $\widehat{R(a,c)}$ of $R(a,c)$ has the following form:*

$$[L_{\alpha\,\lambda}\, L_\beta] = a(\alpha\partial + (\alpha+\beta)\lambda)L_{\alpha+\beta}L_{\alpha+\beta} + (\alpha\delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}\Delta + B(\alpha+\beta)\lambda)\mathfrak{c},$$

where $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$, B is a complex function.

- *If $a, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then the one-dimensional central extension $\widehat{R(a,c)}$ of $R(a,c)$ is trivial.*

References

[1] B. Bakalov, V. Kac, A. Voronov, "Cohomology of conformal algebras," *Comm. Math. Phys.* **200**, 561–598 (1999).

[2] S. Cheng, V. Kac, "Conformal modules," *Asian J. Math.* **1**, 181–193 (1997).

[3] G. Fan, Y. Su, H. Wu, "Loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra,"*J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 123508 (2014).

[4] G. Fan, H. Wu, B. Yu, "Loop W(a,b) Lie conformal algebra,"*Int. J. Math.* **27**, 1650016 (2016).

[5] M. Gao, "The structures and representations of a Block type Lie algebra and its Lie conformal algebra," *Doctor dissertation*. (2012).

[6] M. Gao, Y. Xu, X. Yue, "The Lie conformal algebra of a Block type Lie algebra," *arXiv:1210.6160*.

[7] Y. Hong, Central extensions and conformal derivations of a class of Lie conformal algebras, *e-print arXiv*:1502.02770v2.

[8] V. Kac, "Formal distribution algebras and conformal algebras," Brisbane Congress in Math. Physics, 1997.

[9] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[10] V. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*, University Lecture Series Vol.10, American Mathematical Society, 1996.

[11] Y. Su, "Low dimensional cohomology of general conformal algebras gc_N," *J. Math. Phys*. **45**, 509–524 (2004).

[12] Y. Su, L. Yuan, "Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra," *J. Math. Phys*. **54**, 053503 (2013).

[13] Y. Su, X. Yue, "Filtered Lie conformal algebras whose associated graded algebras are isomorphic to that of general conformal algebra gc_1," *J. Algebra*. **340**, 182–198 (2011).

[14] X. Tang, Z. Zhang, "The structures of the loop-Witt algebra," *Acta. Math. Sinica*. **28**, 2329–2344 (2012).

[15] H. Wu, Q. Chen, X. Yue, "Loop Virasoro Lie conformal algbera," *J. Math. Phys*. **55**, 1545–1558 (2014).

[16] C. Xia, W. Wang, "Derivations and automorphism of a Lie algebra of Block type," *Comm. Algebra*. **40**, 3113–3126 (2012).